

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF JUDICATURE  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE  
GENERAL JURISDICTION  
ACCRA - A.D. 2026

23/01/2026  
Filed on.....  
at..... 3:00 ..... am/pm  
..... Registrars  
HIGH COURT, ACCRA  
SUIT NO.:

BETWEEN

YAW ANING BOADU  
Dansoman, Accra

... PLAINTIFF/APPLICANT

AND

1. GHANA LAW SOCIETY ... DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS  
Accra

2. OFFICE OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES  
Barnes Avenue, Accra

3. GENERAL LEGAL COUNCIL  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Ghana Law School, Accra

---

MOTION ON NOTICE:

APPLICATION FOR INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTION PURSUANT TO ORDER 25  
OF HIGH COURT (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES, 2004 (C.I. 47)

---

✓ PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court will be moved on the ~~1<sup>st</sup>~~ <sup>THURS</sup> day of ~~Feb~~ January 2026 at 9 o'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as Counsel for the Plaintiff/Applicant may be heard on an application praying this Honourable Court for the an order of interlocutory injunction restraining the 1st Defendant/Respondent, whether by itself, its executives, officers, members, or privies, from holding itself out as a registered or accredited professional body of lawyers, or from issuing, purporting to issue, or regulating practising licences, chamber licences, or pupillage licences, pending the final determination of this suit upon grounds stated in the accompanying affidavit in support and for any further order(s) as this Honourable Court may deem fit.

HALES SOLICITORS  
H/No. F22/2 DALEBY CLOSE  
02-90774474/4475  
OSU ACCRA - GHANA

Pp: KWASI ADU-MANTE  
HALES Solicitors  
Solicitors for Plaintiff/Applicant  
Solicitor's Licence No:eGAR:015794/26

The Registrar  
High Court  
General Jurisdiction Division  
Accra

**AND COPIES FOR SERVICE ON THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS**

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF JUDICATURE  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

GENERAL JURISDICTION  
ACCRA - A.D.2026

Filed on..... 23/01/2026  
at..... 3:00 ..... am/pr  
..... Registrant  
HIGH COURT, ACCRA  
SUIT NO.:

BETWEEN

YAW ANING BOADU  
Dansoman, Accra

... PLAINTIFF/APPLICANT

AND

1. GHANA LAW SOCIETY  
Cantonments, Accra ... DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS
2. OFFICE OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES  
Barnes Avenue, Accra
3. GENERAL LEGAL COUNCIL  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Ghana Law School, Accra

---

AFFIDAVIT OF YAW ANING BOADU IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR  
INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTION

---

I, YAW ANING BOADU, of Dansoman, Accra, make oath and say as follows:

1. That I am the Plaintiff/Applicant herein and therefore competent to depose to the facts contained in this affidavit.
2. That I have the authority to swear to this affidavit on my own behalf in support of the application for interlocutory injunction.
3. That at the hearing of this application, my Counsel shall seek leave of this Honourable Court to refer to all processes filed in this suit as if same were herein set out in extenso and sworn to.
4. That in or about January 2026, I caused a Writ of Summons to issue out of the registry of this Court against the Defendants herein, challenging the legality of the purported registration and accreditation of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent as a professional body of lawyers.
5. That on 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2026, there was a wide circulation on social media and other public platforms of a notice purported to have emanated from the Executive Council of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent announcing its formal launch as a professional

body of lawyers scheduled for 26<sup>th</sup> January, 2026, at the International Press Centre.

6. That the said notice further represented that the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent had been duly registered by the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant/Respondent, accredited by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Defendant/Respondent and had capacity to issue practising licences, chamber licences, and pupillage licences; and annexed herewith and marked Exhibit YAB is a copy of the said notice evidencing this fact.
7. That these representations are false, misleading, and unlawful, as the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent has not satisfied the mandatory statutory requirements governing the registration of professional body.
8. That the requirement of the law is that a professional body may only be registered where it represents not less than seventy-five percent (75%) of the persons trained and qualified in the profession concerned.
9. That a search at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant/Respondent's office revealed that the membership strength of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent at the time of its purported registration was barely one percent (1%) of the persons trained and qualified in the legal profession in Ghana.
10. That notwithstanding this fundamental defect, the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent continues to hold itself out as a duly registered and accredited professional body of lawyers, in breach of statute.
11. That the purported registration and accreditation of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent by the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Defendants/Respondents respectively, is an affront to the statutory and regulatory framework governing the legal profession in Ghana and any acts of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent consequent upon the said registration constitute a continuing illegality.
12. That unless restrained by this Honourable Court, the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent will persist in holding itself out as a professional body of lawyers and purport to issue licences, thereby creating confusion, undermining regulatory authority, and occasioning irreversible damage to the administration of justice.
13. That damages will not be an adequate remedy for the harm likely to be occasioned by the continued unlawful conduct of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant/Respondent, as the injury complained of is regulatory, institutional, and affects the integrity of the legal profession.
14. That the Plaintiff/Applicant has raised serious and substantial issues of law to be tried, particularly concerning statutory compliance, ultra vires acts, and continuing illegality.

15. That the balance of convenience tilts heavily in favour of the Plaintiff/Applicant, as the grant of the injunction would merely restrain unlawful conduct, whereas a refusal would permit the perpetuation of an illegality.
16. That the grant of this application will preserve the status quo ante pending the effectual and final determination of the substantive suit.
17. That in the circumstances, it is just, equitable, and convenient for this Honourable Court to exercise its discretion in favour of the Plaintiff/Applicant by granting the interlocutory injunction sought.

WHEREFORE I swear to this affidavit in support of the application.

SWORN in Accra this  
27<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2026

  
.....

DEPONENT

BEFORE ME

